

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699,115	10/31/2003	Sung-Soo Chae	11038-103-999	4992
24341	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. 2 PALO ALTO SOUARE			AVERY, BRIDGET D	
3000 EL CAN	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO	, CA 94306		3618	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)U.	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,115	CHAE, SUNG-SOO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bridget Avery	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau		at rannivad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies in	or received.	
Attachment(s)	,, (
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ___

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. In claim 6, the phrase "an axis extending between said insertion holes when respectively received on said resilient said muffler side connecting pins and said body side connecting pins is about 30 to 60 degrees above horizontal" is confusing rendering the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ammar (US Patent 4,643,458).

Ammar teaches a fixing device for an automobile muffler (10) similar to applicant's including:

Muffler side connecting means (note innermost holes with pins/bolts)

Application/Control Number: 10/699,115 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

Car body side connecting means (note outermost holes with pins/bolts)

- The distance between the car body side connecting means is longer than that of the muffler side connecting means
- ❖ A resilient hanger (34) positioned between the muffler side connecting means and the car body side connecting means
- ❖ The muffler side connecting means including two muffler connecting pins/bolts
- ❖ The muffler connecting pins are fixed at the muffler via a cover bracket (20)
- The body side connecting pins are coupled underneath the automobile and fixed to a surface of a body side bracket
- ❖ The resilient hanger (34) defines insertion holes (36)
- ❖ With respect to claim 6, as best understood, an axis extending between the insertion holes (36) is about 30 to 60 degrees above horizontal and is about 45 degrees above horizontal

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Layson (US Patent 4,615,500).

Application/Control Number: 10/699,115 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

Layson teaches a fixing device for an automobile muffler (10) similar to applicant's including:

- Muffler side connecting means (note innermost holes 32 with pins/bolts)
- Car body side connecting means (note outermost holes 30 with pins/bolts)
- The distance between the car body side connecting means is longer than that of the muffler side connecting means
- ❖ A hanger (44) positioned between the muffler side connecting means and the car body side connecting means
- The muffler side connecting means including two muffler connecting pins/bolts (48)
- ❖ The muffler connecting pins (48) are fixed at the muffler via a cover bracket (52)
- The cover bracket (52) is coupled around a connecting part of an exhaust pipe (42) of the muffler
- ❖ The cover bracket (52) is formed with a vertical flange surface toward the front of the automobile and the muffler side connecting pins are fixed to the vertical flange surface, protruding toward the front of the vehicle
- The body side connecting pins are coupled underneath the automobile and fixed to a surface of a body side bracket (34)
- The hanger (44) defines insertion holes (46)
- An axis extending between the insertion holes is about 30 to 60 degrees above horizontal and is about 45 degrees above horizontal

Art Unit: 3618

❖ Re the resilient hanger recited in claim 1, Layson teaches a bendable bracket in column 2, line 5.

Layson lacks the teaching of a resilient hanger.

The bendable bracket taught in column 2, line 5, is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two resilient members were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the bendable bracket for a resilient hanger.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Olsen et al. shows a muffler-to-cab isolation mounting assembly.

Divilio et al. shows a multiple piece catalytic converter flange.

Pereault shows a muffler assembly for snowmobiles.

Engman et al. shows a universal muffler and tail pipe holding bracket.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

Avery

September 6, 2005